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LLVM official documentation

llvm.org/docs
A lot of documentation...

llvm.org/docs mentions:

- 5 references about Design & Overview
- 19 references about User Guides
- 13 references about Programming Documentation
- 32 references about Subsystem Documentation
- 7 references about Development Process Documentation
- 5 Mailing Lists
- 5 IRC bots

Most of the above references are OUT-OF-DATE.

You probably need documentation about the documentation itself.
Essential documentation

Intro to LLVM [1] gives a quick and clear introduction to the compiler infrastructure. It is mostly up-to-date.\(^1\)

Writing an LLVM pass [2] explains step by step how to implement a Pass for those who never did anything like that. We will see this tutorial later in the course.

Doxygen [3] *The best code documentation is the code itself.* Sometimes the generated doxygen documentation is enough. It also contains links to the web version of the source code. It is always up-to-date.

llvm-dev Mailing List. Last resource: ask other developers. Warning: 24/7 many people are posting in this ML.

\(^1\)at the time I am writing
Contents

1 Documentation

2 Normalization Passes

3 Analysis Passes

4 Conclusions

5 Bibliography
We will see the following passes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Switch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable promotion</td>
<td>mem2reg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop simplify</td>
<td>loop-simplify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop-closed SSA</td>
<td>lcssa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induction variable simplification</td>
<td>indvars</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

They are **normalization** passes:

- put data into a canonical form
Variable Promotion

One of the most difficult things in compiler is:

- considering memory accesses

**Plain SAXPY**

```c
define float @saxpy(float %a, float %x, float %y) {
  entry:
  %a.addr = alloca float, align 4
  %x.addr = alloca float, align 4
  %y.addr = alloca float, align 4
  store float %a, float* %a.addr, align 4
  store float %x, float* %x.addr, align 4
  store float %y, float* %y.addr, align 4
  %0 = load float, float* %a.addr, align 4
  %1 = load float, float* %x.addr, align 4
  %mul = fmul float %0, %1
  %2 = load float, float* %y.addr, align 4
  %add = fadd float %mul, %2
  ret float %add
}
```
In the SAXPY kernel some `alloca` are generated:

- represent *local variables* \(^2\)

They are generated due to compiler *conservative* approach:

- maybe some instruction can take the addresses of such variables, hence a memory location is needed

Complex representations makes hard performing further actions:

- suppose you want to compute \(a \times x + y\) using only one instruction \(^3\)
- hard to detect due to *load* and *store*

---

\(^2\) Arguments are local variables

\(^3\) e.g. FMA4
To limit the number of instruction accessing memory:

- we need to eliminate **load** and **store**
- achieved by **promoting** variables from memory to registers

Inside LLVM SSA-based representation:

- **memory** Stack allocations — e.g. \%1 = **alloca** float, **align** 4
- **register** SSA variables — e.g. \%a

The **mem2reg** pass focus on:

- eliminating **alloca** with only **load** and **store** uses

Also available as utility:

- `llvm::PromoteMemToReg`\(^4\)

---

\(^4\)see lib/Transforms/Utils/PromoteMemoryToRegister.cpp

Stefano Cherubin <stefano.cherubin@polimi.it>
Variable Promotion
Example on simplified code

Starting Point

%1 = alloca float
%2 = alloca float
%3 = alloca float
store %a, %1
store %x, %2
store %y, %3
%4 = load %1
%5 = load %2
%6 = fmul %4, %5
%7 = load %3
%8 = fadd %6, %7
ret %8

Promoting alloca

%1 = %a
%2 = %x
%3 = %y
%4 = %1
%5 = %2
%6 = fmul %4, %5
%7 = %3
%8 = fadd %6, %7
ret %8

Copy propagation performed transparently by the compiler

After Copy-propagation

%1 = fmul %a, %x
%2 = fadd %1, %y
ret %2
Different kind of loops:

**do-while Loops**

```
1
v
2
```

**while Loops**

```
1
v
2
```

**Irreducible Loops**

```
1
 v
2
 v
 3
```

In LLVM the focus is on one kind of loop:

- natural loops
Natural Loops

A natural loop:

- has only one entry node – *header*
- there is a back edge that enter the loop header

Under this definition:

- the irreducible loop is not a natural loop
- since LLVM consider only natural loops, the irreducible loop *is not recognized* as a loop
Loop Terminology

Loops defined starting from back-edges:

- **back-edge**: edge entering loop header: (3, 1)

- **header**: loop entry node: 1

- **body**: nodes that can reach back-edge source node (3) without passing from back-edge target node (1) plus back-edge target node: \{1, 2, 3\}

- **exiting**: nodes with a successor outside the loop: \{1, 3\}

- **exit**: nodes with a predecessor inside the loop: \{4, 5\}
Loop Simplify

Natural loops finding is the base pass **identify** loops, but:

- some features are not analysis/optimization friendly

The **loop-simplify** pass normalize natural loops:

- **pre-header** the only predecessor of header node
- **latch** the starting node of the only back-edge
- **exit-block** ensures exits dominated by loop header
Latch Insertion

- pre-header always executed before entering the loop

Exit-block Insertion

- latch always executed before starting a new iteration
- exit-blocks always executed after exiting the loop
Loop-closed SSA

Loop representation can be further normalized:

- **loop-simplify** normalize the shape of the loop
- nothing is said about loop definitions

Keeping SSA form is expensive with loops:

- **lcssa** insert phi instruction at loop boundaries for variables defined inside the loop body and used outside
- this guarantee isolation between optimization performed inside and outside the loop
- faster keeping IR into SSA form – propagation of code changes outside the loop blocked by phi instructions
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Loop-closed SSA

Example

Linear Search

```c
unsigned search(float *x, unsigned n, float y) {
    unsigned i, j = 0;
    for(i = 0; i != n; ++i)
        if(x[i] == y)
            j = i;
    return j;
}
```

The example is trivial:

- think about having large loop bodies
- transformation becomes useful
**Before LCSSA**

```llvm
for.cond:
  %i.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %inc, %for.inc ]
  %j.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %j.1, %for.inc ]
  %cmp = icmp ne i32 %i.0, %n
  br i1 %cmp, label %for.body, label %for.end

...

if.end:
  %j.1 = phi i32 [ %i.0, %if.then ], [ %j.0, %for.body ]
  br label %for.inc

for.inc:
  %inc = add i32 %i.0, 1
  br label %for.cond

for.end:
  ret i32 %j.0
```
After LCSSA

for.cond:
  %i.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %inc, %for.inc ]
  %j.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %j.1, %for.inc ]
  %cmp = icmp ne i32 %i.0, %n
  br i1 %cmp, label %for.body, label %for.end

...  

if.end:
  %j.1 = phi i32 [ %i.0, %if.then ], [ %j.0, %for.body ]
  br label %for.inc

for.inc:
  %inc = add i32 %i.0, 1
  br label %for.cond

for.end:
  %j.0.lcssa = phi i32 [ %j.0, %for.cond ]
  ret i32 %j.0.lcssa
Induction Variables

Some loop variables are special:

- e.g. counters

Generalization lead to induction variables:

- \texttt{foo} is a loop induction variable if its successive values form an arithmetic progression:
  \[ \texttt{foo} = \texttt{bar} \times \texttt{baz} + \texttt{biz} \]
  where \texttt{bar}, \texttt{biz} are loop-invariant \(^5\), and \texttt{baz} is an induction variable

- \texttt{foo} is a canonical induction variable if it is always incremented by a constant amount:
  \[ \texttt{foo} = \texttt{foo} + \texttt{biz} \]
  where \texttt{biz} is loop-invariant

---

\(^5\)Constants inside the loop
Induction Variable Simplification

Canonical induction variables are used to **drive** loop execution:

- given a loop, the **indvars** pass tries to find its canonical induction variable

With respect to theory, LLVM canonical induction variable is:

- initialized to 0
- incremented by 1 at each loop iteration
Normalization passes running order:

1. **mem2reg**: limit use of memory, increasing the effectiveness of subsequent passes
2. **loop-simplify**: canonicalize loop shape, lower burden of writing passes
3. **lcssa**: keep effects of subsequent loop optimizations local, limiting overhead of maintaining SSA form
4. **indvars**: normalize induction variables, highlighting the canonical induction variable

Other normalization passes available:

- try running `opt -help`
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Checking Input Properties

Analysis basically allows to:

- **derive** information and properties of the input
- **verify** properties of input

Keeping analysis information is expensive:

- tuned algorithms updates analysis information when an optimization invalidates them
- incrementally updating analysis is cheaper than recomputing them

Many LLVM analysis supports incremental updates:

- this is an **optimization**
- focus on **information** provided by analysis
We will see the following passes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analysis</th>
<th>Pass</th>
<th>Switch</th>
<th>Transitive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control flow graph</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominator tree</td>
<td>domtree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-dominator tree</td>
<td>postdomtree</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loop information</td>
<td>loops</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalar evolution</td>
<td>scalar-evolution</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alias analysis</td>
<td>special</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory dependence</td>
<td>memdep</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ask the pass manager to schedule a specific pass before running the current one.

Requiring analysis by transitivity:

- **yes** `llvm::AnalysisUsage::addRequiredTransitive<T>()`
- **no** `llvm::AnalysisUsage::addRequired<T>()`

In cases where analyses chain, the addRequiredTransitive method should be used instead of the addRequired method. This informs the PassManager that the transitively required pass should be alive as long as the requiring pass is.
The Control Flow Graph is implicitly maintained by LLVM:
  - no specific pass to build it

Recap:
  - CFG for a function is a set of basic blocks
  - a basic block is a set of instructions

Functions and basic blocks acts like containers:
  - STL-like accessors: `front()`, `back()`, `size()`, ...
  - STL-like iterators: `begin()`, `end()`

Each contained element is aware of its container:
  - `getParent()`
Every CFG has an entry basic block:

- the **first** executed basic block
- it is the **root/source** of the graph
- get it with `llvm::Function::getEntryBlock()`

More than one exit blocks can be generated:

- their terminator instructions are **rets**
- they are the **leaves/sinks** of the graph
- use `llvm::BasicBlock::getTerminator()` to get the terminator . . .
- . . . then check its real class
For performance reasons, a custom casting framework is used:

- you cannot use `static_cast` and `dynamic_cast` with types/classes provided by LLVM

### LLVM Casting Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static cast of <code>Y *</code> to <code>X *</code></td>
<td><code>X * llvm::cast&lt;X&gt;(Y *)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic cast of <code>Y *</code> to <code>X *</code></td>
<td><code>X * llvm::dyn_cast&lt;X&gt;(Y *)</code></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is <code>Y</code> an <code>X</code>?</td>
<td><code>bool llvm::isa&lt;X&gt;(Y *)</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Example:

- is BB a sink?

```
llvm::isa<llvm::ReturnInst>(BB.getTerminator())
```
Every basic block $BB$ has one or more:

- **predecessors** from `pred_begin(BB)` to `pred_end(BB)` \(^6\)
- **successors** from `succ_begin(BB)` to `succ_end(BB)`

Convenience accessors directly available in `llvm::BasicBlock`:

- E.g. `llvm::BasicBlock::getUniquePredecessor()`

Other convenience member functions:

- **moving a basic block**: `llvm::BasicBlock::moveBefore(llvm::BasicBlock *)` or `llvm::BasicBlock::moveAfter(llvm::BasicBlock *)`
- **split a basic block**:
  - `llvm::BasicBlock::splitBasicBlock(llvm::BasicBlock::iterator)`
- ...

\(^6\) see include/llvm/IR/CFG.h
The `llvm::Instruction` class defines common operations:

- e.g. getting an operand: `llvm::Instruction::getOperand(unsigned)`

Subclasses provide specialized accessors:

- e.g. the `load` instruction takes an operand that is a pointer:
  
  `llvm::LoadInst::getPointerOperand()`

The value produced by the instruction is the `instruction itself`:

**Example**

Consider:

```
%6 = load i32, i32* %1, align 4
```

the `load` is described by an instance of `llvm::LoadInst`. That instance also models the `%6` variable.
Instructions built using:

- constructors — e.g. `llvm::LoadInst::LoadInst(...)`
- factory methods — e.g. `llvm::GetElementPtrInst::Create(...)`

Interface is not homogeneous:

- some instructions support both methods
- others support only one

At build-time, instructions can be:

- appended to a basic block
- inserted after/before a given instruction

Insertion point usually specified as builder last argument
LLVM class hierarchy is built around two simple concepts:

- **value** something that can be used: `llvm::Value`
- **user** something that can use: `llvm::User`

A value is a **definition**:

- `llvm::Value::use_begin()`, `llvm::Value::use_end()` to visit uses

An user access **definitions**:

- `llvm::User::op_begin()`, `llvm::User::op_end()` to visit used values

**Functions:**

- used by call sites
- uses formal parameters

**Instructions:**

- define an SSA value
- uses operands
Every \texttt{llvm::Value} is typed:

- Use \texttt{llvm::Value::getType()} to get the type

Since every instructions is/define a value:

- instructions are typed

**Example**

Consider:

\[
\%6 = \texttt{load i32, i32* \%1, align 4}
\]

the \%6 variable actually is the instruction itself. Its type is the type of \texttt{load} return value, \texttt{i32}
Dominance Trees

Dominance trees answer to control-related queries:

- is this basic block executed before that?
  - `llvm::DominatorTree`

- is this basic block executed after that?
  - `llvm::PostDominatorTree`

The two trees interface is similar:

- `bool dominates(X *, X *)`
- `bool properlyDominates(X *, X *)`

Where x is an `llvm::BasicBlock` or an `llvm::Instruction`

Using `opt` is possible printing them:

- `-view-dom`, `-dot-dom`
- `-view-postdom`, `-dot-postdom`
Loop information are represented using two classes:

- `llvm::LoopInfo` analysis detects natural loops
- `llvm::Loop` represents a single loop

Using `llvm::LoopInfo` it is possible:

- navigate through top-level loops:
  `llvm::LoopInfo::begin()`, `llvm::LoopInfo::end()`

- get the loop for a given basic block:
  `llvm::LoopInfo::operator[](llvm::BasicBlock *)`
Loops are represented in a nesting tree:

```
while(i < 10) {  // loop 1
    while(j < 10)  // loop 2
        while(k < 10) // loop 3
            ...
    while(h < 10)  // loop 4
        ...
}
```

Nest navigation:
- children loops: `llvm::Loop::begin()`, `llvm::Loop::end()`
- parent loop: `llvm::Loop::getParentLoop()`
Accessors for relevant nodes also available:

- **pre-header**  
  `llvm::Loop::getLoopPreheader()`
- **header**  
  `llvm::Loop::getHeader()`
- **latch**  
  `llvm::Loop::getLoopLatch()`
- **exiting**  
  `llvm::Loop::getExitingBlock()`,  
  `llvm::Loop::getExitingBlocks(...)`
- **exit**  
  `llvm::Loop::getExitBlock()`,  
  `llvm::Loop::getExitBlocks(...)`

Loop basic blocks accessible via:

- **iterators**  
  `llvm::Loop::block_begin()`,  
  `llvm::Loop::block_end()`
- **vector**  
  `std::vector<llvm::BasicBlock *> &llvm::Loop::getBlocks()`
The **SCalar EVolution** framework:

- represents scalar expressions
- supports recursive updates
- lower burden of explicitly handling expressions composition
- is designed to support *general induction variables*

### Example

```
for.cond:
  %i.0 = phi [ 0, %entry ], [ %i.inc, %for.inc ]
  %cond = icmp ne %i.0, 10
  br %cond, label %for.body, label %for.end

for.inc:
  %i.inc = add nsw %i.0, 1
  br label %for.cond

for.end:
  ...
```

**SCEV for %i.0:**

- initial value 0
- incremented by 1 at each iteration
- final value 10
Scalar Evolution

Example

Source

```java
void foo() {
    int bar[10][20];
    for(int i = 0; i < 10; ++i)
        for(int j = 0; j < 20; ++j)
            bar[i][j] = 0;
}
```

SCEV `{A,B,C}<%D>`:
- **A** initial
- **B** operator
- **C** operand
- **D** defining BB

Induction Variables

```llvm
%i.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %entry ], [ %inc6, %for.inc5 ]
    --> {0,+,1}<nuw><nsw><%for.cond>  Exits: 10
%j.0 = phi i32 [ 0, %for.body ], [ %inc, %for.inc ]
    --> {0,+,1}<nuw><nsw><%for.cond1>  Exits: 20
```
The scalar evolution framework manages any scalar expression:

### Pointer SCEVs

\[
\%\text{arrayidx} = \text{getelementptr} \{\ldots\} \%\text{bar}, \text{i32} \ 0, \text{i32} \ %i.0
\]

\[
\rightarrow \ \{\%\text{bar},+,80\}<\text{nsw}><\%\text{for}.\text{cond}>
\]

Exits: \(\{\%\text{bar},+,80\}<\text{nsw}><\%\text{for}.\text{cond}>\)

\[
\%\text{arrayidx4} = \text{getelementptr} \{\ldots\} \%\text{arrayidx}, \text{i32} \ 0, \text{i32} \ %j.0
\]

\[
\rightarrow \ \{\%\text{bar},+,80\}<\text{nsw}><\%\text{for}.\text{cond},+,4\}<\text{nsw}><\%\text{for}.\text{cond1}>
\]

Exits: \(\{(80 + %\text{bar}),+,80\}<\text{nsw}><\%\text{for}.\text{cond}>\)

SCEV is an analysis used for common optimizations:
- induction variable substitution
- strength reduction
- vectorization
- ...
SCEVs are modeled by the `llvm::SCEV` class:
- a subclass for each kind of SCEV: e.g. `llvm::SCEVAddExpr`
- instantiation disabled

A SCEV actually is a tree of SCEVs:

\{(80 + %bar),+,80\} = \{%1,+,80\}, %1 = 80 + %bar

Tree leaves:

- **constant** `llvm::SCEVConstant`: e.g. 80
- **unknown** \(^9\) `llvm::SCEVUnknown`: e.g. %bar

SCEV tree explorable through the visitor pattern:

- `llvm::SCEVVisitor`

\(^9\) Not further splittable
The `llvm::ScalarEvolution` class:

- analyzes SCEVs for a `llvm::Function`
- builds SCEVs for values:
  
  ```cpp
  llvm::ScalarEvolution::getSCEV(llvm::Value *)
  ```

- creates new SCEVs:
  
  ```cpp
  llvm::ScalarEvolution::getConstant(llvm::ConstantInt *)
  ```

  ```cpp
  llvm::ScalarEvolution::getAddExpr(llvm::SCEV *, llvm::SCEV *)
  ```

  ...

- gets important SCEVs:
  
  ```cpp
  llvm::ScalarEvolution::getBackedgeTakenCount(llvm::Loop *)
  ```

  ```cpp
  llvm::ScalarEvolution::getPointerBase(llvm::SCEV *)
  ```

  ...
Let $X$ be an instruction accessing a memory location:

- is there another instruction accessing the same location?

Alias analysis tries to answer the question:

- application memory operation scheduling
- problem often fails

Different algorithms for alias analysis:

- common interface — `llvm::AliasAnalysis` — for all algorithms
- by default, basic alias analyzer — `basicaa` — is used

**Requiring Alias Analysis**

```cpp
AU.addRequiredTransitive<llvm::AliasAnalysis>();
```
**Alias Analysis**

**Memory Representation**

### Source

\[
\begin{align*}
%1 &= \text{load } i16, i16* \%a \\
%2 &= \text{load } i16, i16* \%b \\
\text{store } i16 \%2, i32* \%a \\
\text{store } i16 \%1, i32* \%b
\end{align*}
\]

### Distinct Locations

\[
\begin{align*}
\%a &\rightarrow \text{cell } 1 \\
\%b &\rightarrow \text{cell } 2
\end{align*}
\]

### Same Location

\[
\begin{align*}
\%a &\rightarrow \text{cell } 1 \\
\%b &\rightarrow \text{cell } 1
\end{align*}
\]

### Overlapping Locations

\[
\begin{align*}
\%a &\rightarrow \text{cell } 1, 2 \\
\%b &\rightarrow \text{cell } 2, 3
\end{align*}
\]

Basic building block is `llvm::AliasAnalysis::Location`:

- address: e.g. `%a`
- size: e.g. 2 bytes
Given two locations \( X, Y \), the alias analyzer classifies them:

- `llvm::AliasAnalyzer::NoAlias`: \( X \) and \( Y \) are different memory locations
- `llvm::AliasAnalyzer::MustAlias`: \( X \) and \( Y \) are equal – i.e. they point to the same address
- `llvm::AliasAnalyzer::PartialAlias`: \( X \) and \( Y \) partially overlap – i.e. they point to different addresses, but the pointed memory areas partially overlap
- `llvm::AliasAnalyzer::MayAlias`: unable to compute aliasing information – i.e. \( X \) and \( Y \) can be different locations, or \( X \) can be a complete/partial alias of \( Y \)

Queries performed using:

- `llvm::AliasAnalyzer::alias(X, Y)`
Basic alias analyzer interface is low-level – we would like expressing queries about a single pointer $X$:

- how referenced memory location is accessed?
- which other instructions reference the same location?

What we need is a set, to classify memory locations:

- construct a `llvm::AliasSetTracker` starting from a `llvm::AliasAnalyzer*`
- it builds (one or more) `llvm::AliasSet`

For a given location $X$, a `llvm::AliasSet`:

- contains all locations aliasing with $X$
Each alias set references the memory:

- `llvm::AliasSet::NoModRef`: no memory reference – i.e. the set is empty
- `llvm::AliasSet::Mod`: memory accessed in write-mode – e.g. a `store` is inside the set
- `llvm::AliasSet::Ref`: memory accessed in read-mode – e.g. a `load` is inside the set
- `llvm::AliasSet::ModRef`: memory accessed in read-write mode – e.g. a `load` and a `store` inside the set
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Alias Analyzer
Mid-level Interface

Entry point is `llvm::AliasSetTracker::getAliasSetForPointer(...)`:  
- `llvm::Value *`: location address  
- `uint64_t`: location size  
- `llvm::MDNode *`: used for type-based alias analysis  
- `bool *`: whether a new `llvm::AliasSet` has been created to hold the location – location does not alias up to now

Having the `llvm::AliasSet`:  
- STL container-like interface: `size()`, `begin()`, `end()`, ...  
- check reference type: `llvm::AliasSet::isRef()`, ...  
- check aliasing type: `llvm::AliasSet::isMustAlias()`, ...

\[10\] set to NULL
The `llvm::MemoryDependenceAnalysis` wraps alias analysis to answer queries in the following form:

- let `%foo` be an instruction accessing memory. Which preceding instructions does `%foo` depends on?

**Reads:**
- `stores` writing memory locations aliases with the one references by `%foo`

**Writes:**
- `loads` reading memory locations aliased with the one referenced by `%foo`
Let `%foo` be a `llvm::Instruction` accessing memory:

- Call `llvm::MemoryDependenceAnalysis::getDependency(...)`
- You get a `llvm::MemDepResult`

Dependencies are classified:

- `llvm::MemDepResult::isClobber()`: an instruction clobbering – i.e. potentially modifying – location referenced by `%foo` has been found
- `llvm::MemDepResult::isDef()`: an instruction defining – e.g. writing – the exact location referenced by `%foo` has been found
- `llvm::MemDepResult::isNonLocal()`: no dependency found on `%foo` basic block
- `llvm::MemDepResult::isNonFuncLocal()`: no dependency found on `%foo` function
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Conclusions

Inside LLVM there a lot of passes:

- **normalization** put program into a canonical form
- **analysis** get info about program

Please remember that

- a good compiler writer **re-uses** code
- check LLVM sources before re-implementing a pass
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