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Motivation

Bad thing that happen with programs

- Overly complicated data structures
- Too much time spent on finding bugs that should have been obvious
- Excessive use of resources (time, memory)
- Lack of program portability
- Code so difficult to understand you have to rewrite it entirely

These are the results of programming errors as much as abnormal program termination or incorrect results!
Principles

Keep in sight the basic principles

**Simplicity**  Keep programs short and manageable

**Clarity**  Keep programs easy to understand for people and machines

**Generality**  (Re-)Use and design adaptable solutions

**Automation**  Avoid repetitive, error prone tasks by delegating to the machine
What do we need to learn, then?

- Programming Style
- Data Structure Construction
- Design and Implementation of Algorithms
- Isolation through Interfaces
- Testing and Debugging
- Programming for Portability
- Programming for Performance
- Tools for Automation
**Naming Conventions**

Use descriptive names for globals, short names for locals

**An example of bad conventions**

```c
for (theElementIndex = 0 ;
     theElementIndex < number0fElements ;
     theElementIndex++)
    elementArray[theElementIndex] =
        theElementIndex;
```

**Should be rewritten as**

```c
for (i = 0 ; i < nelems ; i++)
    elem[i] = i ;
```
Naming Conventions

Be consistent

Inconsistent and redundant use of names

```c
struct __queue {
    queueElem *queuehead;
    queueElem *TailOfQueue;
    int noOfItemInQ;
} queue;
```

Should be restated as

```c
struct __queue {
    queueElem *head;
    queueElem *tail;
    int nitems;
} queue;
```
Naming Conventions

Use accurate names

Use active names for functions

```plaintext
putchar( '\n' );
```

But ambiguity should be avoided

```plaintext
// incorrect
if ( checkoctal(c) ) ... 

// correct
if ( isoctal(c) ) ... 
```
Expressions and Statement

Indentation

Example of bad indentation

```c
for (n++; n < 100; field [n++] = '\0');
*i = '\0'; return ( '\n' );
```

Reformatting and restructuring

```c
for (n++; n < 100; n++)
    field [n] = '\0';
*i = '\0';
return ' \n';
```
Expressions and Statement

Write expressions in natural form

Avoid negations if possible

```c
if(!(block_id < actblks) || !(block_id >= unblocks)) ...
```

Restructuring to read naturally

```c
if((block_id >= actblks) || (block_id < unblocks)) ...
```
Expressions and Statement

Avoid ambiguity by using parentheses

**Works, but is hard to read**

```c
leap_year = y % 4 == 0 && y % 100 != 0 || y % 400 == 0;
```

**Parenthesize to make easier to read**

```c
leap_year = ((y%4 == 0) && (y%100 != 0)) || (y%400 == 0);
```

Note that in many cases parentheses are needed to specify operator precedence!
Expressions and Statement

Break up complex expressions

Works, but is totally unreadable

\[ \ast x \ast x \ast x = ( \ast x p = (2 \ast k < (n - m) ? c[k + 1] : d[k - -])); \]

Restructure to make easier to read

```
if (2 * k < n - m)
  \ast x p = c[k + 1];
else
  \ast x p = d[k - -];
\ast x \ast x \ast x = \ast x p
```
What does this code do?

```c
subkey = subkey >>
       (bitoff - ((bitoff >> 3) << 3));
```

- Shift `bitoff` by 3 right, then left → zero the last three bits
- The subtraction gets the three removed bits as results
- The three last bits of `bitoff` are used to shift `subkey`

Restructure to make clear and concise

```c
subkey >>= bitoff & 0x7;
```
Expressions and Statement
Be careful with side effects!

The order of execution of side effects is undefined

\[ \text{str}[i++] = \text{str}[i++] = ' \_ '; \]
- Intent: store blank in both spaces
- Effect: depends on when i is updated!

Restructure to make unambiguous

\[ \text{str}[i++] = ' \_ '; \]
\[ \text{str}[i++] = ' \_ '; \]
Expressions and Statement
Be careful with side effects!

Argument evaluation happens before the call

```
scanf("%d %d", &yr, &profit[yr]);
```

- Intent: read values from input and store profit for corresponding yr
- Effect: stores profit at previous value of yr

Correct version

```
scanf("%d", &yr);
scanf("%d", &profit[yr]);
```
Consistency
Use idioms for consistency

Idioms are conventional ways to express concepts

- The language may offer multiple ways to express a concept (e.g., a loop)
- Certain forms are idiomatic, and should be used instead of less common ones

```
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) { ... }

i = 0; while (i < N) { ... ; i += 1; }
```
Use consistent indentation

- Syntax-driven editing tools may help
- indent may also help
- Major software projects mandate their own style!

```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    /* we aren't checking for missing arg! */
    char *hello_string = argv[1];
    printf("%s\n", hello_string);  /* print the input */
    return 0; /* Success */
}
```
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    /* we aren’t checking for missing arg! */
    char *hello_string = argv[1];
    printf("%s\n", hello_string);
    /* print the input string */
    return 0;
    /* Success */
}
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    ______/* we aren't checking for missing arg! */
    ______char *hello_string = argv[1];
    ______printf("%s\n", hello_string);
    ______/* print the */
    ______return 0;___________/* Success */
}
```
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    /∗ we aren ’ t checking for missing arg! ∗/ 
    char *hello_string = argv[1];
    printf("%s\n", hello_string);
    /∗ print the */
    return 0;          /∗ Success ∗/
}
```
```c
#include <stdio.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    /*
     * we aren't checking for missing arg!
     *
     */
    char *hello_string = argv[1];
    printf("%s\n", hello_string);
    /* print the */
    return 0; /* Success */
}
```
Function Macros

Beware the semantics!

- Macros work by textual substitution
- Multiple instances of an argument may cause multiple evaluations
- This does not happen with functions
- C99 supports inline functions, use them instead!
Comments

Don’t do the following:
- Belabor the obvious
- Comment bad code instead of rewriting it
- Contradict the code

Do the following:
- Point out salient details or large-scale view
- Write code so that meaning is self-evident
- Update comments with the code
- Comment functions and global data

Use doxygen for documenting C/C++ code!
Assess potential algorithms and data structures

- Small-data problems: choose simple solutions
- Large-data problems: choose scalable solutions

Choose implementation means

- Use *language features* if possible, *libraries* otherwise
- If you have to design solutions, start from simple ones, then refine for performance
Choosing data structures

- A small set of data structures covers most problems
  - Arrays: fast but no dynamic shrinking/growing
  - Lists: dynamic shrinking/growing but slow
  - Trees: combine both, but balancing is required
  - Hash tables: combine both, but balancing is required
- Specialized data structures might be needed for particular applications
Designing Programs

Think first, code later

- Search for *standard* solutions to subproblems
- Choose (tentative) algorithms
- Design the corresponding data structures

Prototype first, productize later

- Production-quality code takes 10x to 100x more time than prototypes
- Prototyping forces clarification of decisions
- Start simple, but evolve as needed
Design Issues

Issues in building components for larger programs

- **Interfaces**: provide uniform and convenient services
- **Information hiding**: provide straightforward access to components while hiding implementation details
- **Resource management**: manage dynamic memory allocation and shared information
- **Error handling**: detect and report errors
Library Interfaces

Hiding implementation details

- Hide details that are irrelevant to the user
- Example: C I/O library, FILE* hides the implementation
- Use opaque types if possible
- Avoid global variables
Library Interfaces

Select small, orthogonal set of primitives

- Provide just one way to perform each operation
- Provide operations that do not overlap
- Modify the implementation rather than the interface
- If more convenient ways of doing things are desired, use higher level libraries (wrappers)
Library Interfaces

Don’t reach behind the user’s back
- Do not modify global data or input data (except for output parameters)
- E.g., consider `strtok`, which destroys the input string
- A better implementation could work on a copy

Keep consistency
- Use the same semantics for parameters across the whole set of primitives
- Compare `stdio.h` with `string.h`
- Also, keep consistency with similar libraries and/or libraries used in the same project
Resource Management

Allocate and free resources in the same layer

- E.g., a library that allocates data should free it
- Choose a style and keep it
- Write reentrant code: avoid global variables, static local variables
Error Handling

Error detection at low level, handling at high level

- Detect error at as low level as possible
- Handle error at high level: let caller function decide on handling
- Library functions should fail gracefully (e.g., return NULL rather than abort)
- In C, use errno.h to distinguish between various types of error

Use exceptions for exceptional behaviour

- C exception handling: setjmp and longjmp
- Very low level mechanism, use only for truly exceptional behaviour
User Interfaces

Just because it’s not Graphical, it doesn’t mean it’s not a UI

- Text-based programs also have interfaces
- The goal is to keep them simple
- Also, design the interface to allow both programs and humans to use them

Error reporting and input interfaces

- Provide meaningful error reports
- Identify error site (including program name), reason for failure, hints at how to correct
- Use domain-specific mini-languages for complex input
- If extensive interaction is needed, consider using a scripting front-end
Overview
Testing vs Debugging vs Correct by Construction

What is debugging?
An attempt to find the error in a program that is known to be broken

What is testing?
A systematic attempt to break a program you think is working

Limits of testing
- You can only demonstrate the presence of bugs, not their absence
- However, correct by construction is unfeasible in most cases
Overview
Making Program Correct by Construction

Generate code programmatically
- E.g., generate assembly programs from high level languages
- Use scripting languages or small ad-hoc languages for specialized tasks
Testing
When to perform testing?

Test code as you write it!

On small code fragments:

- Boundary condition testing: check for empty input, overfull input, exactly full input...
- Pre- and post-conditions: check that input and output values stay within the expected value ranges
- Use assert .h to check properties
- Defensive programming: handle logically impossible cases, detecting and reporting errors
- Use the error facilities provided by called functions!
Test incrementally, but thoroughly

- Test incrementally, starting from small code units
- Test simple parts or functionalities first
- Once simple functionalities work, check more complex cases
- Know what to expect as the correct result! Use properties of the application domain as much as possible
- Verify conservation properties (check that data structures are not destroyed by mistake, and output is consistent with input)
- Compare independent implementations
- Measure test coverage: check that all code is actually tested (with tools such as Gcov)
Testing

Test Automation

**Basics**

- *Regression testing*: check that a new version obtains the same results as the previous one
- Create tests that are fully self-contained
- Use scripting languages (bash, PERL, AWK, Python)
- Use system tools: `diff`, `sort`, `grep`, `wc`, `sum`

**Advanced Test Automation**

- Large code projects provide specialized automation tools for testing: Litmus (Mozilla), Google Testing Framework (Google), xUnit, DejaGNU (GNU)