

Exercise 1.2 (6 points)

Define the procedure *make-vecstring*, which is a variant of *vecstrings* returning a closure over *V*. Such closure has one parameter that must be a string *s* and works like *vecstrings*, by putting *s* in *V*. When the closure is called with the parameter *'return*, it must return the current value of *V*.

Example:

```
(define my-v (make-vecstring v1)) ; the definition of v1 is in Ex. 1.1
```

```
(my-v "another")
```

```
(my-v "member")
```

```
(my-v "no")
```

```
(my-v 'return) is the vector #(#f #f ("no" "an" "hi") "day" "have" "there" "member")
```

```
(define (make-vecstring V)
  (let ((top (- (vector-length V) 1)))
    (lambda (s)
      (if (eq? s 'return)
          V
          (let ((sl (string-length s)))
            (when (<= sl top)
              (vector-set! V sl
                            (let ((old (vector-ref V sl)))
                              (cond
                               ((string? old) (list s old))
                               ((list? old)  (cons s old))
                               (else s))))))))))
```

Haskell

Exercise 2.1 (1+2+2 points)

Consider this data definition: `data Valn a = Valn a (a -> Bool)`

where a is a generic type, and the function: $a \rightarrow Bool$ is a predicate that checks the validity of the stored value.

1) *Valn* cannot derive *Eq* or *Show*, why?

Because value equality for functions is undecidable; there is not a standard representation of functions in Haskell.

2) Make *Valn* an instance of *Eq*.

```
instance Eq a => Eq (Valn a) where
  (Valn x f) == (Valn x' f') = (x == x') && (f x) == (f' x')
```

3) Make *Valn* an instance of *Show*.

```
instance Show a => Show (Valn a) where
  show (Valn x f) = "Valn " ++ show x ++ " " ++ show (f x)
```

Exercise 2.2 (5 points)

Make *Valn* an instance of *Num*, considering that the predicate for two argument functions (e.g. (+)) must be the logical “and” of the two predicates; for one argument functions, say *abs*, the predicate remains the same.

```
instance Num a => Num (Valn a) where
  (Valn a f) + (Valn b g) = Valn (a+b) (\x -> (f x) && (g x))
  (Valn a f) - (Valn b g) = Valn (a-b) (\x -> (f x) && (g x))
  (Valn a f) * (Valn b g) = Valn (a*b) (\x -> (f x) && (g x))
```

```
negate (Valn a f) = Valn (negate a) f
abs (Valn a f)   = Valn (abs a)   f
signum (Valn x f) = Valn (signum x) f
fromInteger i = Valn (fromInteger i) (\x -> True)
```

Prolog

Exercise 3.1 (5 points)

Define the *remove* predicate, knowing that `remove(Elem, List1, List2)` is true when *List1*, with *Elem* removed, results in *List2*.

Example:

```
?- remove(3,[2,3,1,3],X).
```

```
X = [2, 1, 3] ; X = [2, 3, 1]
```

```
remove(X, [X], []).
remove(X, [X|Xs], Xs).
remove(X, [Y|Xs], [Y|Ys]) :- remove(X, Xs, Ys).
```

Exercise 3.2 (3+1+2 points)

Consider this code:

```
proc0(L,S) :- proc1(L,S), proc2(S).
```

```
proc2([]).
```

```
proc2([_]).
```

```
proc2([X,Y|ZS]) :- X =< Y, proc2([Y|ZS]).
```

```
proc1([],[]).
```

```
proc1([X|XS],YS) :- proc1(XS,ZS), remove(X,YS,ZS).
```

1) For what can be proc0 used? What is it?

It is a sorting algorithm, considering all the permutations of the input list L.

2) Give reasonable names to proc0, proc1, proc2.

```
proc0 = permutation_sort  
proc2 = sorted  
proc1 = permutation
```

3) Is a good idea to use proc0 in a program? Why?

No, it is probably the world's possible sorting algorithm available. It is much better to use, e.g. the quicksort implementation seen in class.